Natural Resources Conservation Service

Application Ranking Summary

SGI

| Program: | Ranking Date: | Application Number: |
|----------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| Ranking Tool: SGI | | Applicant: |
| Final Ranking Score: | | Address: |
| Planner: | | Telephone: |
| Farm Location: | | |

National Priorities Addressed

| Issue Questions | |
|---|---------------|
| If the application is for development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP), the agency will assign significant ranking priority and conservation benefit by answering "Yes" to the following question. Answering "Yes" to question 1a will result in the application being awarded the maximum amount of points that can be earned for the national priority category. | |
| 1. a. Is the program application to support the development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP)? If answer is "Yes", do not answer any other national level questions. If answer is "No", proceed with evaluation to address the remaining questions in this section. | |
| Water Quality Degradation – Will the proposed project improve water quality by: (select all that apply) | |
| 2. a. Implementing the practices in a Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP)? | Yes O or No O |
| 2. b. Implementing the practices in a Nutrient Management Plan (NMP)? | Yes O or No O |
| 2. c. Reducing impacts from sediment, nutrients, salinity, or pesticides on land adjoining a designated "impaired water body" (TMDL, 303d listed waterbody, or other State designation)? | Yes O or No O |
| 2. d. Reducing the impacts from sediment, nutrients, salinity, or pesticides in a "non-impaired water body"? | Yes O or No O |
| 2. e. Implementing practices that improve water quality through animal mortality and carcass management? | |
| Water Conservation – Will the proposed project conserve water by: (select all that apply) | |
| 3. a. Implementing irrigation practices that reduce aquifer overdraft. | Yes O or No O |
| 3. b. Implementing irrigation practices that reduce on-farm water use? | Yes O or No O |
| 3. c.Implementing practices in an area where the applicant participates in a geographically established or watershed-wide project? | |
| 3. d. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm water use as a result of changing to crops with lower water consumptive use, the rotation of crops, or the modification of cultural operations? | Yes O or No O |
| Air Quality - Will the proposed project improve air quality by: (select all that apply) | |
| 4. a. Meeting on-farm regulatory requirements relating to air quality or proactively avoid the need for regulatory measures? | Yes O or No O |
| 4. b. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm emissions of particulate matter (PM2.5, PM10)? | Yes O or No O |
| 4. c.Implementing practices that reduce on-farm generated greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide (CO2), methane (CH4), and nitrous oxide (N2O)? | Yes O or No O |
| 4. d. Implementing practices that increase on-farm carbon sequestration? | Yes O or No O |
| Soil Health:- Will the proposed project improve soil health by: (select all that apply) | |
| 5. a. Reduce erosion to tolerable limits (Soil "T")? | Yes O or No O |
| 5. b.Increasing organic matter and carbon content, and improving soil tilth and structure? | Yes O or No O |
| Wildlife Habitat – Will the proposed project improve wildlife habitat by: (select all that apply) | |
| 6. a. Implementing practices benefitting threatened and endangered, at-risk, candidate, or species of concern. | |
| 6. b. Implementing practices that retain wildlife and plant habitat on land exiting the Conservation | Yes O or No O |

| Reserve Program (CRP) or other set-aside program? | |
|---|---------------|
| 6. c. Implementing practices benefitting honey bee populations or other pollinators? | |
| 6. d. Implementing land-based practices that improve habitat for aquatic wildlife? | |
| Plant and Animal Communities: Will the proposed project improve plant and animal communities by: (select all that apply) | |
| 7. a. Implementing practices that result in the management control of noxious or invasive plant species on non-cropland? | Yes O or No O |
| 7. b. Implementing practice in an Integrated Pest Management Plan (IPM)? | Yes O or No O |
| Energy Conservation—Will the proposed project reduce energy use by: (select all that apply) | |
| 8. a. Reducing on-farm energy consumption? | |
| 8. b. Implementing practice(s) identified in an approved AgEMP or energy audit, which meet ASABE S612 criteria? | |
| Business Lines – Will the practices to be scheduled in the "EQIP Plan of Operations" result in: | |
| 9. a. Enhancement of existing conservation practice(s) or conservation systems already in place at the time the application is received? | |

State Issues Addressed

| Issue Questions | |
|---|--|
| Habitat Priority: Will the project improve existing or potential habitat for sage grouse? Answer only ONE of the following questions (1-3), if applicable. | |
| 1. Is the application primarily located in an area identified by the state as Priority 1? Colorado Priority 1 includes Greater sage-grouse within identified core population area boundaries OR Gunnison sage-grouse in the satellite populations of Cerro Summit/Cimarron/Sims Mesa, Crawford, Dove Creek, Pinyon Mesa, or San Miguel. | |
| 2. Is the application located primarily in an area identified by the state as Priority 2? Colorado Priority 2 includes Gunnison sage-grouse in the Gunnison Basin or Poncha Pass population area. | |
| 3. Is the application located primarily in an area identified by the state as Priority 3? Colorado Priority 3 includes Greater sage-grouse habitat outside of identified core population area boundaries, but within the overall sage-grouse range. | |
| Amount of sage-grouse habitat under control of the applicant that will be treated by proposed project. Answer only ONE of the following questions (4-6), if applicable. | |
| 4. Does the project treat 100% of the eligible sagebrush/grassland enrolled? | |
| 5. Does the project treat 50-99% of the eligible sagebrush/grassland enrolled? | |
| 6. Does the project treat less that 50% of the eligible sagebrush/grassland enrolled? | |
| Percent of identified threats treated by the proposed project. Answer only ONE of the following questions (7-10), if applicable. | |
| 7. Does the project address 100% of the threats identified for sage-grouse in the project location? (Refer to Colorado Threats Checklist that is applicable to the priority area the project is in). | |
| 8. Does the project address 75-99% of the threats identified for sage-grouse in the project location? (Refer to Colorado Threats Checklist that is applicable to the priority area the project is in). | |
| 9. Does the project address 50-74% of the threats identified for sage-grouse in the project location? (Refer to Colorado Threats Checklist that is applicable to the priority area the project is in). | |
| 10. Does the project address less than 50% of the threats identified for sage-grouse in the project location? (Refer to Colorado Threats Checklist that is applicable to the priority area the project is in). | |

Local Issues Addressed

| Issue Questions | |
|---|---------------|
| Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) value change due to proposed project as measured using Colorado's Sage-Grouse Wildlife Habitat Evaluation Guide (WHEG). Answer only ONE of the following questions (1-7), as applicable. (Use the Gunnison Sage Grouse or Greater Sage Grouse WHEG) | |
| 1. Will implementation of the planned project increase the overall Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) by .7 - 1.0 habitat factor value points? | Yes O or No O |

| 2. Will implementation of the planned project increase the overall Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) by .6 habitat factor value points? | Yes O or No O |
|---|---------------|
| 3. Will implementation of the planned project increase the overall Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) by .5 habitat factor value points? | Yes O or No O |
| 4. Will implementation of the planned project increase the overall Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) by .4 habitat factor value points? | Yes O or No O |
| 5. Will implementation of the planned project increase the overall Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) by .3 habitat factor value points? | Yes O or No O |
| 6. Will implementation of the planned project increase the overall Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) by .2 habitat factor value points? | Yes O or No O |
| 7. Will implementation of the planned project increase the overall Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) by .1 habitat factor value points? | Yes O or No O |
| Answer any of the following that apply (questions 8-12). | |
| 8. Will the planned project remove invading pinyon/juniper trees that are having negative impacts on sage-grouse in the project area? | Yes O or No O |
| 9. Will the proposed project restore previously converted (pasture, hay, cropland etc.) sagebrush habitat back to sagebrush habitat? | Yes O or No O |
| 10. Will the project include establishing or promoting favorable, native sage-grouse forbs (cover and diversity) on >5% of the offered acres? | Yes O or No O |
| 11. Has a conservation plan been completed on all lands in the tract with the same land use the proposed practice(s) will be applied to? | Yes O or No O |
| 12. Is the majority (> 50%) of the land that will be treated, privately owned land? | Yes O or No O |

Land Use:

| Resource Concerns | Practices |
|----------------------|-----------|
| Ranking Score | |
| Efficiency: | |
| Local Issues: | |
| State Issues: | |
| National Issues: | |
| Final Ranking Score: | |

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.

Notes:

| | Applicant Signature Not Required on this report for Contract Development unless required by State policy: |
|-----------------|---|
| Signature Date: | Signature Date: |